- I. Call to Order Roll Call
- II. Public Comments on Agenda Item Only

- V. Adjournment

(This page left intentionally blank.)

# **MEMORANDUM TO COUNCIL**

To: Mayor Branson and City Councilmembers

From: Aimée Kniaziowski, City Manager

**Date:** August 26, 2015

Agenda Item: III. Approval of Letter to National Marine Fisheries Service Regarding the Environmental Impact Statement for Gulf of Alaska Trawl Bycatch Management

**SUMMARY:** The comments in the letter to the National Marine Fisheries Services urges that the Council process on the issue of trawl by catch in the gulf move forward with the knowledge that impacts to the largest port in the gulf need to be considered. Though it is difficult for the Borough and City to comment on specifics (the EIS is being initiated before the NPMFC has made any final decisions about alternatives, and before the state of Alaska has laid out a state position), our fisheries analyst and the KFWG suggest that the Borough and the City submit as suggested public comment, the ten community goals for fishery management program agreed to by the bodies and support for a cooperative management program to manage bycatch. The ten goals include maintenance of healthy harvesting and processing sectors, a healthy community, and the letter could support the aspects of a management program that provide for these goals.

**DISCUSSION:** It is important for the community to stay engaged in issues being debated by the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council. The Borough and City have worked hard to have a seat at the table during the debate on Federal fisheries policy that affects the island's economy.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

1) Approve, modify, or do not approve the letter to the National Marine Fisheries Service regarding the Environmental Impact Statement for Gulf of Alaska Trawl Bycatch Management.

#### **CITY MANAGER'S COMMENTS:**

Clerk's Note: The Manager will make comments at the special meeting, on August 26, 2015.

#### **ATTACHMENT:**

Attachment A: Draft Letter to National Marine Fisheries Service Regarding the Environmental Impact Statement for Gulf of Alaska Trawl Bycatch Management

*Clerk's Note: The final version of this letter will be prepared following the August 26, 2015, Fisheries Work Group meeting and will be provided at the August 26, 2015, special meeting for approval.* 

AUGUST 26, 2015 Agenda Item III. Memo Page 1 of 2

### **PROPOSED MOTION:**

Move to approve the letter based on the Kodiak Fisheries Work Group recommendation to approve a community response to the National Marine Fisheries Service Environmental Impact Statement on the Gulf of Alaska Bycatch Management Proposal

> AUGUST 26, 2015 Agenda Item III. Memo Page 2 of 2

August 28, 2015

Glenn Merrill Assistant Regional Administrator, Sustainable Fisheries Division Alaska Region NMFS Attn: Ellen Sebastian

Re: NOAA—NMFS—2014—0150 Comments submitted electronically

Gulf of Alaska Trawl Bycatch Management

Representing the communities of the Kodiak region with direct involvement in the groundfish fisheries of the Gulf of Alaska, the City of Kodiak and Kodiak Island Borough have been active participants in the Gulf Trawl Bycatch Management (GTBM) development process. We have provided the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC) with the views of our community as a whole.

The Kodiak Fisheries Work Group (KFWG) has discussed the GTBM action at monthly public meetings since 2012, understanding that the outcome of this Council action will have profound effects on our community as well as on harvesters and processors. Kodiak municipal leaders consider the community to be the necessary "third leg of the stool." The welfare of all three of these sectors will continue to be our focus as the Council moves forward.

Through resolutions (attached), the City and Borough identified ten community goals, which continue to guide the community in discussing the proposed management program. First on this list is to provide effective controls of prohibited species catch and other bycatch, while providing for balanced and sustainable fisheries and healthy harvesting and processing sectors.

The community of Kodiak has recently experienced the negative effects of a fishery closure as a result of bycatch exceeding Prohibited Species Catch (PSC) hard caps placed on a traditional, non-rationalized fishery. Processors and their employees, fishermen and their crews, multiple Kodiak families, support businesses, and the community's tax revenues all suffered substantial losses as a result of the current lack of bycatch management tools.

We continue to strongly support the Council's initiative to reduce bycatch, and have encouraged Council progress in advancing a cooperative management program as a tool in this effort.

While we recognize that harvesting groundfish through cooperatives is a powerful method for controlling bycatch, the community has not yet arrived at positions about all the aspects of allocating harvest, or catch shares, to individuals and/or

other entities. As well as considering the effects of a catch share program on harvesters and processors, the community is seeking to understand and analyze the overall social and economic impacts of catch shares, allocation schemes, and other important aspects of cooperative management.

The City and Borough's KFWG is sponsoring a community roundtable discussion in September on the key aspects of catch shares and cooperatives. In addition, the City and Borough are currently considering proposals in response to an RFP to provide information that should help in analyzing the economic effects on the community of key aspects of fishery management programs. This study should provide a baseline profile of the community's direct involvement in the fishing and processing industries; information on the support business sectors; contributions to the industry through municipal infrastructure; and estimates of the economic effect of seafood and support industries on the community economy.

In short, the community is focused on understanding what an eventual management program should include to ensure the continued economic and social health of the community as a whole.

It is clear the Kodiak community is in the midst of considering the essential elements of a new groundfish management system. Likewise, the Council may be closer to the middle of this process than the end. The current alternatives provide a range of choices for allocation and use of harvest privileges. However, while the current GTBM motion and alternatives before the Council are the focus of this EIS scoping exercise, we are aware that the alternatives in the current motion may be changed or added to by subsequent Council decisions. As the State of Alaska asked for a ten-month delay in GTBM discussions in order to review the issues, we recognize that the resumption of this action in October may see a change in direction.

#### Specific comments on the current alternatives:

The following points are based on the most recent comment letter from the City and Borough to the Council, in October 2014, modified to reflect action taken at the October Council meeting. They refer to components of the current alternatives, as well as to additional aspects of a management program that could address community concerns, based on the ten goals and subsequent discussions.

**1. Consolidation**: Quota consolidation limits (quota control caps and vessel use caps) and processing caps for processors.

Consolidation of licenses on fewer trawl vessels does not affect the total amount of harvest or the associated landing taxes/processing revenues and processing employment opportunities (assuming historic community delivery patterns are

maintained), but it can impact the number of available crew jobs, shares paid to crew, and the amount of demand for shore-based support services.

The community recognizes avoiding all consolidation could reduce the management efficiencies that are the heart of a cooperative structure, and supports further analysis of a range of consolidation limits for both harvesters and processors.

In addition, the community supports further analysis of grandfathering in quota control and processing levels in excess of the caps, including analysis of the concept of specifying a time period after which quota control in excess of the cap must be divested (sunset provision).

The community also recognizes the importance of further analyzing vessel use caps that are applicable within cooperatives.

2. Regionalization: Regionalization of quota based on historical delivery patterns.

Regionalization applies to target species only and is a measure to preserve historical delivery levels to shore-side processors in each management area. As the regional landing requirement would specify landings only as Central Gulf (CG) or Western Gulf (WG), the motion also contains an option that would require target species CG quota historically landed in the port of Kodiak to continue to be landed in the port of Kodiak.

The intent of regionalization (and port of landing requirement) is to maintain processing levels and the associated employment opportunities at or near historical levels. At this time, the community supports further analysis of both the regional and the port delivery requirements.

**3. Fishery participation criteria:** Participation criteria thresholds that define eligibility for the purchase of trawl licenses and/or history/quota.

Currently persons (the definition of which includes individuals, corporate entities and government and community entities) must be able to document a fishing vessel to hold and purchase an LLP, and to purchase and hold quota. There is support for further analysis of participation criteria for the applicable fisheries, including the ability for communities to hold quota in the program.

**4. Community participation in cooperative:** An option where the community in which the processor is located would also be required to sign the cooperative contract, potentially allowing the community to support cooperative practices that meet community goals and objectives.

There are two levels at which the community can play an active role in the contract development process – the regulatory level and the cooperative management level. At the first level, the community believes that the cooperative contracts should

embody the goals of the community, which should be built into the contract requirements by regulation.

Regarding the cooperative management level, the community supports further analysis of the concept of community participation and approval at the intercooperative level.

In addition, the community supports cooperatives providing quarterly performance reports to the community.

**5.** Ability to sever target quota from a license: The ability to sever target species history from a license and transfer it to another license.

This element would allow for a smaller piece of target species history to be severed from a trawl license (as opposed to purchasing the entire license), and used on a latent trawl license, allowing for new entry at lower cost. The community supports this concept for further analysis, including the concept of providing for a maximum amount of history that could be severed from each license.

**6. CFA's:** An alternative to provide for formation and operation of Community Fishing Associations, as described in the Magnuson Stevens Act.

The community supports further analysis of the CFA alternative. We would like to see a side-by-side analysis of the proposed management program's potential attainment of the Council's goals and objectives both with a CFA, and without a CFA.

# 7. Additional comments on proposed management design.

The community recognizes the potential difficulties in opening a limited access fishery with a small amount of quota, and supports continued analysis on this aspect of the proposed program.

# CITY OF KODIAK RESOLUTION NUMBER 2012–31

#### A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KODIAK AND THE KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH ASSEMBLY SUPPORTING COMMENTS TO THE NORTH PACIFIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL ON PENDING ACTIONS REGARDING COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT OF PROHIBITED SPECIES CATCH BY THE TRAWL FISHERY IN THE CENTRAL GULF OF ALASKA

WHEREAS, the North Pacific Fishery Management Council is considering the need for and beginning development of a comprehensive program to manage prohibited species catch by the trawl fleet of the central Gulf of Alaska; and

WHEREAS, any such comprehensive management program for fisheries in the central Gulf of Alaska will have major and direct effects on the economy and well-being of residents of the Kodiak region; and

WHEREAS, National Standards of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act require that federal fishery management decisions take into account the importance of fishery resources to fishing communities, in order to provide for the sustained participation of such communities and minimize adverse economic impacts on such communities; and

WHEREAS, the City of Kodiak and the Kodiak Island Borough represent the communities of the Kodiak region, rather than individual user groups or fishing interests; and

WHEREAS, the City of Kodiak and the Kodiak Island Borough have begun a program to participate directly in public processes for fishery policy decision-making as outlined in Resolution No. 2012–30 of the City of Kodiak.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Kodiak and the Assembly of the Kodiak Island Borough that these bodies support the Kodiak Fisheries Workgroup's proposed overarching purpose for consideration of fishery management issues of interest and concern to the Kodiak region as follows:

**Overarching Purpose:** 

- 1. Maintain healthy, sustainable resources in the central (and western) Gulf of Alaska.
- 2. Promote a sustainable, vigorous economy in the Kodiak region with healthy and competitive harvesting and processing sectors and support industries.
- 3. Maintain quality of life and social well-being in Kodiak.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Kodiak and the Assembly of the Kodiak Island Borough that these bodies support the Kodiak Fisheries Workgroup's proposed goals for management programs as follows:

Goals for Management Programs:

- 1. Provide effective controls of prohibited species catch and other bycatch to provide for balanced and sustainable fisheries and healthy harvesting and processing sectors.
- 2. Maintain or increase target fishery landings and revenues to Kodiak.
- 3. Maintain or increase employment opportunities for vessel crews, processing workers, and support industries.
- 4. Provide increased opportunities for value-added processing.
- 5. Maintain opportunities for fishermen to enter the fishery.
- 6. Maintain opportunities for processers to enter the fishery.
- 7. Minimize adverse economic impacts of consolidation of the harvesting or processing sectors.
- 8. Maximize active participation by owners of harvesting vessels and fishing privileges.
- 9. Maintain the economic strength and vitality of Kodiak's working waterfront.
- 10. Establish methods to measure success and impacts of all programs, including collection and analysis of baseline and after-action data.



CITY OF KODIAK

MAYOR

ATTEST:

Adopted: September 27, 2012

# **MEMORANDUM TO COUNCIL**

To: Mayor Branson and City Councilmembers

From: Aimée Kniaziowski, City Manager

**Date:** August 26, 2015

Agenda Item: IV. Endorsement of the Fisheries Work Group Recommendation to Award a Professional Services Contract to Provide an Economic Analysis of the Fishing Industry

**SUMMARY:** The Kodiak Fisheries Work Group is recommending a professional services contract to develop baseline data about how the Gulf of Alaska fisheries, particularly the groundfish fisheries, that are the subject to North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC) action, contribute to the Kodiak Island economy. The Fisheries Work Group is scheduled to meet on August 26, 2015, to make their recommendations for awarding the contract. The Kodiak Island Borough is scheduled to award the contract as recommended by the FWG on August 27, 2015.

**DISCUSSION:** On July 10, 2015, a request for proposal was advertised by the Kodiak Island Borough for Economic Analysis Consulting Services. There were three firms that responded by August 4, 2015. The FWG received the proposals on August 5, 2015, to begin reviewing and rating the responses for the August 26, 2015, FWG meeting. The estimated total cost of the contract is \$30,000 to be split equally between the Borough and City.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

1) Endorse or do not endorse the Fisheries Work Group recommendation for a professional services contract.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:** This professional services contract once executed by the Borough will split costs evenly between the City and Borough in an estimated amount of \$30,000. These costs will be taken out of the Legislative Economic Development Fund.

# LEGAL: N/A

**<u>CITY MANAGER'S COMMENTS:</u>** I support the Fisheries Work Group recommendation.

#### ATTACHMENT:

Attachment A: Economic Analysis Consulting Services RFP

#### AUGUST 26, 2015 Agenda Item IV. Memo Page 1 of 2

### **PROPOSED MOTION:**

Move to endorse the Fisheries Work Group recommendation to award a professional services contract to provide an economic analysis of the fishing industry and authorize the City Manager to expend, if necessary, an amount to exceed the estimated cost of \$15,000.

AUGUST 26, 2015 Agenda Item IV. Memo Page 2 of 2



Kodiak Island Borough

# Economic Analysis Consulting Services Request for Proposal

Kodiak Island Borough 710 Mill Bay Road Kodiak, Alaska 99615 (907) 486-9343

# July 2015

#### ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CONSULTING SERVICES RFP

#### 1. AUTHORITY

A. The authority to issue this Request for Proposal (RFP) is granted under Kodiak Island Borough Code (KIBC) 3.30.110 Competitive sealed proposals – Negotiated Procurement.

#### 2. PURPOSE AND INTENT

- A. It is the primary intent of this RFP to select a qualified firm to create baseline economic information about the effects of the fishing industry (harvesting, processing, labor support business, government taxes, etc.) on the economy of the City of Kodiak and Kodiak Island Borough. This baseline data will be used in the analysis of what affects actions by federal and state fishery managers and rule makers will have, positively and negatively, to the economy of the City of Kodiak and the Kodiak Island Borough. It also requests a cost estimate to create a "Kodiak model" so that future decisions can be analyzed.
- B. The term of the contract with the selected proposer will be for a period of two years. Another 1 year extension may be exercised at the discretion of the City of Kodiak and the Kodiak Island Borough.
- C. The work shall be performed in compliance with all applicable federal, state and local requirements. All work must be performed efficiently, cost effectively, and according to best business practices of the industry.
- D. The proposer should be able to demonstrate expertise in all phases of economic analysis and document similar successful work history in Alaska within the last 5 years.
- E. Contracts exceeding \$25,000 must be approved by the KIB Assembly.

#### 3. SCOPE

A. Develop baseline data about how the Gulf of Alaska fisheries, particularly the groundfish fisheries, that are the subject of North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC) action, contribute to the Kodiak Island economy. The contractor will clearly explain the economic effect of the fishing industry, processing sector, and business support activity on the community. Certain baseline data may be obtained through the Kodiak Chamber of Commerce, NPFMC, Department of Labor, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, and from processing plant owners.

- B. Provide an overview of what the communities contribute to the operation of the GOA fisheries and associated industries in the form of community infrastructure investments (i.e. water and sewer utilities, waste water treatment, road operation and maintenance), and other services (solid waste collection/disposal/and treatment and boat harbor management operations, maintenance and other improvements).
- C. Using the data collected, the contractor will show, in a quantitative and qualitative manner, the potential effects on the economy of the communities of Kodiak due to proposed changes in fishery management policy by either State or Federal rule makers. In particular, the information will be used to determine the potential effects of options and alternatives of the pending NPFMC action on GOA Trawl Bycatch Management. The following are examples of impacts from NPFMC actions that should be analyzed:
  - The distributive impacts of different levels of consolidation of the harvesting sector, and processing sector;
  - The potential for capital flight from the community under proposed management scenarios;
  - Employment opportunities to enter into the harvesting sector and make commercial fishing a career; and
  - The long term community impacts/viability (population, economy, resource tax, sales tax) to Kodiak City and Kodiak Island Borough as a major seafood processing location into the foreseeable future.

#### 4. COMMUNITY SUPPLIED DATA

- A. The Kodiak Island Borough and the City of Kodiak will supply the following community data:
  - Community tax revenues, by fishery, for the last five years
  - Community infrastructure capital investments and operational costs, and fishing industry use of community infrastructure, including boatlift, harbor, electricity, water, water treatment and roads.
  - Joint Kodiak Island Borough and City of Kodiak resolution identifying the ten (10) overarching purposes in creating a joint fish policy work group to address the management of prohibited Species catch (PSC) by the trawl fishery in the Gulf of Alaska.

#### 5. CONTRACTOR PROVIDED INFORMATION

- A. The Contractor will provide the following information as part of their analysis:
  - Fisheries harvest information for the most recent five years, in state and federal waters, by fishery, gear type, volume, value, landings by month;
  - Information regarding trawl vessel owner residency, crew and skipper residency and payment, use and costs of leasing in current management programs, number of active vessels, number of active LLP's;
  - By fishery, use of and impacts on support businesses, including gear and equipment suppliers, food providers, repair and machinery shops, fuel suppliers, freight and shipping companies;
  - Processing sector information, aggregated by a third party as needed, including employment numbers by month, residency of processing employees, operational timing and interaction of various fisheries.

# 6. PHASE II – COST ESTIMATE TO DEVELOP A PREDICTIVE MODEL FOR KODIAK.

A. Though development of a predictive model will not be performed under this RFP, **provide an estimated cost to perform this Phase II effort** using the data collected in Phase I, to produce a predictive model (using software such as IMPLAN) that shows the multiplier effect of fishing industry activity in the community, and that can be used to determine the potential effects of proposed changes in fishery management policy at either the State or Federal level. In particular, such a model could be used to determine the potential effects of options and alternatives in the pending Council action on GOA Trawl Bycatch Management, once they are defined. For example, one could use such a model to determine the distributive impacts of different levels of consolidation of the harvesting sector or the processing sector.

#### 7. REQUIRED PROPOSAL FORMAT

- A. Proposers are encouraged to submit proposals that exceed the minimum qualifications stated herein:
  - 1. Qualifications

The respondent should include timelines, projected required personnel and schedules for completing the project

2. Time –Cost

In the Time –Cost section, the respondent must detail the time and costs that will be required to complete the project

B. Proposed Format

Submit one (1) bound copy and ten (10 stapled) copies of the proposals and well as one electronic copy in Adobe Acrobat.

The response must contain a statement of qualifications and a concise narrative which addresses, in the order presented, the evaluation criteria set forth in this solicitation. The narrative response shall not exceed fifteen (15 pages in length, exclusive of appendix, cover page, letter of transmittal, and table of contents.

Proposals will be accepted until noon, on Tuesday, August 4, 2015. It is the proposer's responsibility to deliver proposals to:

Manager's Office Room 125 Kodiak Island Borough 710 Mill Bay Road Kodiak, AK 00915

#### 8. REQUIRED INFORMATION

- A. Proposals must include:
  - A letter of transmittal that shall include the following:
    - a. The proposers name and address
    - b. Company profile and consulting experience in Alaska and in the fishery analysis field.
    - A statement that indicates the proposal is valid for at least 90 days from the proposal submission deadline;
    - d. Statement that indicates the proposer's willingness to perform the services described in this RFP;
    - e. A statement that all staff and other resources which are required to perform the services described in the RFP will be made available by our organization over the life of the anticipated contract
    - f. Statement that the signatory has the authority to bind the proposer; and
    - g. Signature of authorized individual.
  - Fee Schedule

Provide an all-inclusive price for this consulting engagement that includes but not limited to: incidental costs, travel, interview and data gathering costs, analysis, reports and meetings.

• Client Reference

Respondent's should include the name, address and telephone number of two (2) clients for whom services similar to those described in this RFP, has been performed. Reference should be relevant to the personnel described in the Statement of Qualifications. Please provide a brief description of services provided with each reference, as well as the name(s) of team members that provided the services. • Schedule

The selection of a firm for award of the contract will be made by the Borough Assembly. Responsive firms will be ranked numerically. Responses will be evaluated on the basis of the advantages and disadvantages to the Kodiak Island Borough and the City of Kodiak using the criteria set below.

#### 9. RFP REVIEW CRITERIA

- A. Evaluation Process
  - A review committee will review responsive proposals according to the following criteria and given relative weight shown on the table below.

EVALUATION CRITERIA	RELATIVE WEIGHT
DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED APPROACH	30%
FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	30%
REFERENCES	20%
PROPOSED PROJECT TEAM	10%
PROPOSED RATES	10%

#### B. Discussion of Proposed Approach

Weight 30%

Provide a detailed description about your philosophical approach to responding to this RFP. What do you see as the steps to be taken to successfully guide us through this process.

#### C. Firm's Qualifications and Experience

#### Weight 30%

Discussion of what makes you believe would qualify your firm for this project and description of your successes in providing identical or similar work.

#### D. References

Weight 20%

Included should be detailed references and information on 2 (two) similar projects done on Alaska fisheries economic analysis using a similar methodology and tell us of the success and usefulness of the final product.

#### E. PROPOSED PROJECT TEAM

#### Weight 10%

Provide the professional qualifications and experience of the proposed project team members and their expertise in working as a team on a recent project.

#### F. Proposed Rates

Weight 10%

Though qualifications of the firm is of primary importance, price will be included as a weighted determinant.

#### 10. INTERVIEWS

A. Selected proposers may be requested for further interview. Proposers will be notified in writing of the interview requirements, date, time, location, and amount of time allowed for an interview/presentation and questions and answer period.

#### 11. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

**A.** Contact Person: Any information or questions regarding this RFP should be addressed to the Project Manager:

Bud Cassidy, Borough Manager (907) 486-9302 (office) (907) 942-2900 (cell, or email him at: <u>bcassidy@kodiakak.us</u>

Kodiak Island Borough Seafood Severance Tax Collections Calendar Years 2014-2008

PUS	\$\$           104,187           1,233           1,233           106,378           257,064           468,862	41,914 1,229 6,497 <u>22,742</u> 72,381	61,105 233 51,768 <u>67,301</u> 180,407	59,238 708 153,665 <u>50,545</u> 264,156	31,364 807 61,462 <u>10,472</u> 104,105	66,737 635 36,116 <u>8,813</u> 112,301	87,578 290 14,670 <u>57,211</u> 159,749
OCTOPIIS	<u>pounds</u> 145,640 2,282 150,562 <u>401,945</u> 700,429	65,736 1,999 9,440 <u>30,780</u> 107,955	90,172 323 74,133 <u>103,742</u> 268,370	118,910 1,299 228,511 <u>69,891</u> 418,611	67,953 1,408 120,065 <u>21,645</u> 211,071	99,442 1,261 55,978 <u>14,947</u> 171,628	151,018 498 22,650 <u>102,940</u> 277,106
_	<u>\$\$</u> 24,713 965,105 588,477 <u>261,771</u> 1,840,067	22,546 1,022,776 653,562 <u>592,889</u> 2,291,773	20,620 2,105,456 707,832 <u>92,045</u> 2,925,954	13,155 1,201,574 678,176 <u>207,955</u> 2,100,860	5,094643,601153,122224,6121,026,429	3,017 250,665 308,969 <u>69,389</u> 632,040	75,864 865,556 552,546 <u>69,260</u> 1,563,226
dOq	pounds 56,032 8,819,209 3,535,097 <u>977,959</u> 13,388,297	121,037 4,815,466 3,407,794 <u>2,680,732</u> 11,025,029	118,683 12,630,866 3,254,292 <u>350,386</u> 16,354,227	122,570 8,205,493 4,219,235 <u>1,252,652</u> 13,799,950	75,588 5,754,837 1,025,818 <u>2,051,077</u> 8,907,320	30,264 3,965,391 4,005,327 <u>1,041,076</u> 9,042,058	29,783 5,412,552 3,453,414 <u>357,420</u> 9,253,169
R/SOLE	55 677,872 1,539,896 1,351,845 1,351,845 1,109,098 4,678,711	924,004 1,544,999 1,202,329 <u>477,157</u> 4,148,489	628,451 1,083,752 371,594 <u>1,249,606</u> 3,333,403	808,017 1,255,868 1,158,792 <u>780,952</u> 4,003,630	363,328 1,697,687 539,912 <u>704,104</u> 3,305,031	1,384,292 1,455,065 1,025,110 <u>1,200,814</u> 5,065,281	725,230 2,411,805 2,161,216 <u>1,894,360</u> 7,192,611
FLOUNDER/SOLE	2000005 2,459,815 15,730,524 8,088,936 2,130,399 29,409,674	7,659,025 13,381,442 5,945,274 <u>2,621,732</u> 29,607,473	4,272,093 9,442,541 1,864,067 <u>8,400,183</u> 23,978,884	7,729,167 10,968,188 11,788,020 <u>5,317,746</u> 35,803,121	3,733,396 16,425,178 3,594,217 <u>7,139,008</u> 30,891,799	12,022,163 10,911,454 6,871,583 <u>6,669,358</u> 36,474,558	2,607,091 19,500,492 16,534,837 <u>9,785,786</u> 48,428,206
_	353 353 353	5,787 <u>8,940</u> 14,727	<u>135</u> 135	6,426 6,426	4,130	5,391 5,391	859 859
Sour	<u>pounds</u> 11,752 11,752	85,779 5,787 <u>197,891 8,940</u> 283,670 14,727	<u>1,972</u> 1,972	107,152	59,259 59,259	102,325 102,325	23,492
SABLEFISH	<u>\$\$</u> 63,061 4,870,161 3,228,323 <u>2,421,545</u> 10,583,090	5,251 7,359,686 3,480,445 <u>2,102,927</u> 12,948,309	303,145 9,635,735 6,368,009 <u>2,249,810</u> 18,556,699	822,364 9,639,338 6,762,861 <u>2,269,193</u> 19,493,757	573,810 8,737,621 2,693,182 <u>1,073,643</u> 13,078,256	46,820 7,732,633 2,139,118 <u>590,367</u> 10,508,938	1,273,160 7,635,655 2,900,327 <u>654,517</u> 12,463,659
	pounds 14,098 14,098 661,917 444,784 3,043,425	1,710,172 1,710,172 824,968 <u>433,611</u> 2,970,050	43,892 1,544,622 1,163,588 <u>437,613</u> 3,189,715	128,723 1,340,491 878,964 <u>263,962</u> 2,612,140	100,874 1,601,533 464,522 <u>184,993</u> 2,351,922	13,324 1,679,429 528,439 <u>131,588</u> 2,352,780	17,438 1,273,160 582,552 <u>137,537</u> 2,010,687
ISH	\$55           89,850           889,800           670,803           326,353           1,976,806	42,201 1,236,297 373,500 <u>400,233</u> 2,052,231	34,269 2,271,852 754,262 <u>46,401</u> 3,106,784	38,542 526,428 290,946 <u>42,406</u> 898,321	27,121 580,976 444,199 <u>179,817</u> 1,232,112	43,574 570,254 297,767 <u>54,247</u> 965,842	59,537 1,077,445 538,479 <u>63,303</u> 1,738,764
ROCKFISH	<u>pounds</u> 171,828 6,595,805 2,587,978 <u>594,503</u> 9,950,114	199,053 6,549,396 1,950,540 <u>896,657</u> 9,595,646	161,830 4,299,992 2,699,057 <u>114,191</u> 7,275,070	175,316 1,945,243 1,807,253 <u>248,519</u> 4,176,331	107,226 4,135,858 3,202,634 <u>1,423,960</u> 8,869,678	124,565 5,210,727 2,331,483 <u>375,173</u> 8,041,948	214,928 5,723,220 2,750,746 <u>295,354</u> 8,984,248
	55           11,312,754           2,038,143           2,822,672           4,826,423           20,999,992	9,963,808 728,026 1,771,452 <u>1,971,144</u> 14,434,429	19,884,323 2,941,527 1,663,973 <u>4,203,050</u> 28,692,874	17,250,330 1,293,761 5,785,020 <u>5,325,517</u> 29,654,628	12,377,232 2,529,933 3,602,776 <u>446,323</u> 18,956,264	9,557,165 1,844,809 2,551,024 <u>806,643</u> 14,759,641	16,223,252 2,460,797 7,222,580 <u>4,580,361</u> 30,486,990
COD	pounds 40,973,061 8,492,351 9,152,153 <u>13,074,362</u> 71,691,927	38,101,764 2,826,100 7,206,278 <u>6,526,083</u> 54,660,225	52,578,229 7,593,125 6,858,048 <u>12,759,954</u> 79,789,356	51,743,945 4,252,313 15,306,541 <u>12,339,127</u> 83,641,926	49,397,138 8,545,966 13,397,650 <u>1,482,204</u> 72,822,958	24,000,891 5,352,990 9,311,642 <u>3,199,009</u> 41,864,532	25,891,180 4,246,130 12,591,161 <u>8,336,210</u> 51,064,681
_	\$\$5           7,093           5,156,036           38,086,139           74,908           43,324,176	493,542 10,102,301 57,807,055 <u>505,858</u> 68,908,756	1,678,486 10,127,390 43,316,455 <u>1,779,155</u> 56,901,486	9,593,503 43,223,839 <u>26,234</u> 52,843,576	3,053,297 27,723,785 <u>12,931</u> 30,790,013	318,102 3,257,015 33,018,094 492,105 37,085,316	966,084 5,773,121 29,144,270 <u>57,887</u> 35,941,361
SALMON	pounds 3,766,069 50,445,705 3 <u>55,365</u> 54,267,139 4	6,733,557 1 6,733,557 1 106,994,380 5 <u>5,101</u> 113,733,038 6	5,647,388 1 76,971,631 4 82,619,019 5	7,183,496 70,538,219 60. <u>831</u> 77,782,546 5	2,680,317 54,539,756 2 <u>261</u> 57,220,334 3	2,724,700 99,315,556 3 <u>217,034</u> 102,257,290 3	370,857 8,174,217 53,602,319 2 53,602,147,418 3 62,147,418 3
×	\$\$ 20,211,997 2,117,947 5,613,961 13,924,928 41,868,833	16,122,050 2,909,066 4,412,836 <u>6,366,179</u> 29,810,130	15,076,294 1,169,044 4,780,801 <u>4,157,721</u> 25,183,860	11,996,484 1,224,941 3,189,129 <u>3,458,421</u> 19,868,975	10,180,416 485,937 13,381,047 <u>3,868,442</u> 27,915,841	5,766,668 546,636 724,500 <u>2,031,741</u> 9,069,543	5,667,024 398,258 1,178,800 <u>2,936,180</u> 10,180,262
POLLOCK	pounds 146,325,090 2 9,020,264 47,024,666 <u>56,389,013</u> 1 258,759,033	96,609,367 2,975,293 29,657,576 <u>41,621,451</u> 170,863,687 2	87,790,250 1 288,536 33,962,560 <u>26,773,326</u> 148,814,672 2	75,024,980 1 633,806 21,249,663 <u>16,469,059</u> 113,377,508 1	60,358,480 1,012,410 14,579,473 21,071,037 97,021,400	29,204,679 1,279,692 4,617,322 <u>12,606,936</u> 47,708,629	27,519,258 2,402,704 7,098,967 <u>16,504,878</u> 53,525,807 1
	2014 QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 2014	2013 QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 2013	2012 QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 2012	2011 QTR1 QTR2 QTR3 QTR4 2011	2010 QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 2010	2009 QTR 1 QTR 2 QTR 3 QTR 4 2009	2008 QTR1 QTR2 QTR3 QTR4 2008

Kodiak Island Borough Seafood Severance Tax Collections Calendar Years 2014-2008

TOTALS         Śź           pounds         Śź           192,529,113         \$ 33,568,880           59,776,132         \$ 25,516,695           123,256,688         \$ 59,902,705           25,522,124         \$ 28,372,781           451,084,057         \$ 147,361,061	145,762,304 \$ 33,360,480 49,997,100 \$ 33,815,385 158,210,941 \$ 78,019,782 <u>56,208,624 \$ 18,009,706</u> 410,178,969 \$ 163,205,353	147,975,209 \$ 42,264,259 52,801,048 \$ 45,024,915 129,800,353 \$ 69,557,494 51,963,850 \$ 22,872,022 382,630,460 \$ 179,718,689	138,655,411 \$ 40,561,245 43,860,965 \$ 42,323,481 129,440,392 \$ 78,030,033 <u>38,585,373</u> <u>\$ 22,409,206</u> 350,542,141 \$ 183,323,966	116,510,445 \$ 28,396,261 52,866,649 \$ 32,759,024 93,600,490 \$ 56,938,000 <u>36,294,409</u> \$ 18,045,827 299,211,993 \$ 136,139,112	66,797,543 \$ 18,236,375 44,006,152 \$ 27,348,491 131,568,696 \$ 50,632,602 27,984,894 \$ 14,946,559 270,447,285 \$ 111,164,027	58,740,340 \$ 28,905,960 81,297,727 \$ 37,072,677 101,380,321 \$ 58,675,239 <u>39,622,508</u> <u>\$ 23,523,131</u> 281,040,896 \$ 148,177,008
Price Adjustments 2.49,336 1,656,545 1,905,881	2,442,421 551,642 2,994,062	2,041,316 	2,013,488 728,282 27,118 <u>559,496</u> 3,328,384	1,448,263 1,195,045 <u>109,396</u> 2,752,704	-6,384 -6,384	331,804 331,804 331,804
* MISCELLANEOUS pounds \$5 14,486 771 122,816 509,560 137,302 510,331	10,233 1,192 24,209 <u>110,712</u> <u>417,861</u> 111,904 452,303	2,182 10,013 2,160 108 <u>120,172 571,938</u> 124,514 582,059	60 3 9,578 1,863 1,188 83 <u>118,388 635,549</u> 129,214 637,499	255,417 11 106,935 377,579 <u>122,436</u> <u>306,090</u> 484,788 683,680	8,759 2,849 4,214 314,239 <u>157,619</u> <u>349,022</u> 170,592 666,110	70,114         7,010           26,579,548         1,763,790           3,160         1,081           129,877         320,617           26,782,699         2,092,498
LINGCOD pounds <u>\$</u> 22,271 7,876 <u>18,376</u> <u>6,657</u> 41,247 14,333	12,476 5,256 <u>12,565 5,082</u> 25,041 10,338	267 53 267 53 25,452 11,004 <u>50,945 21,883</u> 76,664 32,940	35,849 16,748 <u>15,837</u> 7 <u>.383</u> 51,686 24,131	4,710 2,169 <u>6,193</u> 2,926 10,903 5,095	39,920 12,994 <u>22,087</u> <u>6,465</u> 62,007 19,458	422 190 422,833 230,488 <u>32,479</u> <u>17,305</u> 435,734 247,982
CRAB         S5           pounds         \$5           56,995         113,970           31,734         151,472           197,179         611,475           326,788         2,020,046           612,686         2,896,964	846,281 2,148,553 39,084 239,782 52,283 139,737 <u>268,462 1,698,240</u> 1,206,110 4,226,311	1,390,635 3,816,784 918 2,433 94,764 222,573 281,429 2,058,912 1,767,746 6,100,702	1,830,388 5,330,234 16,914 98,892 323,798 776,997 <u>329,099</u> 2,743,689 2,500,199 8,949,811 2,500,199 8,949,811	841,281 1,217,641 64,508 191,077 370,252 705,345 <u>687,113 3,885,324</u> 1,963,154 5,999,387	421,369 685,812 449,726 714,886 1,029,590 1,639,834 <u>632,045 2,764,707</u> 2,532,730 5,805,239	804,793 1,143,444 120,685 234,459 859,256 1,892,292 <u>880,180</u> 4,003,302 2,664,914 7,273,497
ScalLOPs           pounds         55           7,153         79,555           835         9,246           7,988         88,800	11,209 117,695 117,695	15,194 159,537 15,194 159,537	30,551 467,594 30,551 467,594	315 3,150 315 3,150 315		
HERRING         55           pounds         55           3,696,350         126,361           247,368         55,658           3,943,718         182,019	0 108,407 7,736,847 1,065,926 582,114 130,976 8,318,961 1,305,308	8,359,373 1,004,377 438,991 98,742 <u>159,704 35,933</u> 8,958,068 1,139,053	5,875,013 444,819 <u>423.884 95.374</u> 6,298,897 540,193	8,485,047 1,172,346 55,550 12,499 <u>325,770 73,298</u> 8,866,367 1,258,143	8,301,743 1,642,025 <u>505,244 113,680</u> 8,806,987 1,755,705	4,172,966 716,327 160,750 34,275 <u>242,750 55,391</u> 4,576,466 805,993
<b>SUT</b> <u>\$\$</u> 145,105 5,902,620 6,597,472 2,378,080 15,023,277	0 1 1 7 0					
HALIBUT pounds 24,154 1 1,425,580 5,9 1,058,014 6,5 <u>361,394 2,3</u> 2,869,142 15,0	21,815 109,956 1,438,193 6,775,751 1,469,005 7,326,851 <u>663,065</u> <u>3,370,684</u> 3,592,078 17,583,242	17,661 73,479 2,028,761 12,141,664 1,955,313 10,863,702 <u>1,121,804</u> 5,758, <u>130</u> 5,123,539 28,837,004	279,111 1,672,392 2,517,279 15,902,251 2,244,852 15,144,026 <u>858,807 5,70,321</u> 5,900,049 38,488,989	470,126 1,934,604 2,698,437 11,740,817 1,480,256 7,357,334 <u>1,225,239</u> 6,968,378 5,874,058 28,001,132	14,719         46,137           3,181,013         8,789,189           2,803,632         8,739,134           1,784,546         6,309,329           7,783,910         23,883,789	339,634         2,306,530           3,163,073         13,175,186           2,917,495         12,625,894           1,953,501         8,441,154           8,373,703         36,548,764
LIBU <sup>-</sup> 5, 15, 15,	17	12, 5, 28,		7 7		0 H H W

\*Miscellaneous Includes: eel, roe, sculpin, sea cucumber & smelt

Month All Water Meters Commercial and Industrial

	<b>FY05</b>	FY06	FY07	FY 12	FY13	FY14
July	111140430	185,028,320	103,460,875	62,945,310	69,115,390	74,748,020
Aug	113967220	144,980,370	124,918,740	80,641,250	87,564,880	128,191,570
Sept	91,666,510	84,589,720	104,771,640	136,336,220	107,924,309	136,726,810
Oct	37,252,280	65,866,390	91,657,110	134,608,760	90,419,650	112,796,880
Nov	25,218,900	22,865,610	33,271,870	35,142,980	67,418,270	48,044,200
Dec	7,334,680	11,178,730	18,350,050	13,091,220	27,525,650	26,139,340
Total	386,580,020	514,509,140	476,430,285	462,765,740	449,968,149	
Jan	55,855,350	61,541,490	39,007,490	89,643,187	47,180,990	
Feb	87,298,780	114,036,160	91,491,160	119,065,840	147,020,907	
Mar	133,505,150	161,228,300	103,460,857	187,030,870	117,862,193	
April	73,959,740	104,155,500	130,302,660	74,917,410	71,363,380	
May	42,418,740	30,450,680	63,570,730	49,182,000	54,465,460	
June	26,794,820	27,011,480	56,756,630	42,522,000	38,197,864	
Total	806,412,600	1,012,932,750	961,019,812	1,025,127,047	926,058,943	

	Induced by Decouple Accombly
1	Introduced by: Borough Assembly Requested by: Kodiak Fisheries Workgroup
2 3	Drafted by: Borough Clerk
4	Introduced on: 09/20/2012 Adopted on: 09/20/2012
5	
6	KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH
7	RESOLUTION NO. FY2013-10
8	savet jusikoka oleko katoleko
9	A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH ASSEMBLY AND THE
10	CITY OF KODIAK COUNCIL SUPPORTING COMMENTS TO THE NORTH PACIFIC
11	FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL ON PENDING ACTIONS REGARDING
12	COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT OF PROHIBITED SPECIES CATCH (PSC) BY THE
13	TRAWL FISHERY IN THE CENTRAL GULF OF ALASKA
14	
15	WHEREAS, the North Pacific Fishery Management Council is considering the need for
16	and beginning development of a comprehensive program to manage prohibited species
17	catch by the trawl fleet of the central Gulf of Alaska; and
18	catch by the traw heet of the central Out of Alaska, and
19	WHEREAS, any such comprehensive management program for fisheries in the central
20	Gulf of Alaska will have major and direct effects on the economy and well-being of
20	residents of the Kodiak region; and
22	residents of the Rodiak region, and
23	WHEREAS, National Standards of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and
25 24	
	Management Act require that federal fishery management decisions take into account the
25 26	importance of fishery resources to fishing communities, in order to provide for the
20	sustained participation of such communities and minimize adverse economic impacts on
	such communities; and
28 29	MUEDEAC the Kedick blood Bergush and the City of Kedick represent the
	WHEREAS, the Kodiak Island Borough and the City of Kodiak represent the
30	communities of the Kodiak region, rather than individual user groups or fishing interests;
31	and
32	WHEREAS the Kedick bland Dereugh and the City of Kedick have been a second to
33	WHEREAS, the Kodiak Island Borough and the City of Kodiak have begun a program to
34	participate directly in public processes for fishery policy decision-making as outlined in
35	Resolution No. FY2013-09 of the Kodiak Island Borough
36	NOW THEREFORE BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE KODING ISLAND ROBOLIOU
37	NOW, THEREFORE BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH
38	ASSEMBLY AND THE CITY OF KODIAK COUNCIL that these bodies support the Kodiak
39	Fisheries Workgroup's proposed overarching purpose for consideration of fishery
40	management issues of interest and concern to the Kodiak region as follows:
41	Oversyshing Durnesse
42 43	<b>Overarching Purpose:</b> 1. Maintain healthy, sustainable resources in the central (and western) Gulf of Alaska.
43 44	
44 45	<ol> <li>Promote a sustainable, vigorous economy in the Kodiak region with healthy and competitive harvesting and processing sectors and support industries.</li> </ol>
45 46	<ol> <li>Maintain quality of life and social well-being in Kodiak.</li> </ol>
40	5. maintain quainy of the and social well-being in Nouldk.

47

52

67

68

69 70

71

72 73 74

75 76

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE KODIAK ISLAND
 BOROUGH ASSEMBLY AND THE CITY OF KODIAK COUNCIL that these bodies
 support the Kodiak Fisheries Workgroup's proposed goals for management programs as
 follows:

#### 53 Goals for Management Programs:

- Provide effective controls of prohibited species catch and other bycatch to provide
   for balanced and sustainable fisheries and healthy harvesting and processing
   sectors.
- 57 2. Maintain or increase target fishery landings and revenues to Kodiak.
- Maintain or increase employment opportunities for vessel crews, processing
   workers, and support industries.
- 60 4. Provide increased opportunities for value-added processing.
- 5. Maintain opportunities for fishermen to enter the fishery.
- 62 6. Maintain opportunities for processers to enter the fishery.
- 63 7. Minimize adverse economic impacts of consolidation of the harvesting or64 processing sectors.
- 65 8. Maximize active participation by owners of harvesting vessels and fishing 66 privileges.
  - 9. Maintain the economic strength and vitality of Kodiak's working waterfront.
  - 10. Establish methods to measure success and impacts of all programs, including collection and analysis of baseline and after-action data.

#### ADOPTED BY THE ASSEMBLY OF THE KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH THIS TWENTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2012

KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH

Je dome M. Selby, Berough Ma

77 78 79 ATTEST: 80 81 82 Ndva M. Javier, MMC, Borough Clerk 83